

19



Europäisches Patentamt
European Patent Office
Office européen des brevets

11 Publication number:

0 235 893
A1

12

EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION

21 Application number: 87300471.7

51 Int. Cl. 4: D21D 3/00

22 Date of filing: 20.01.87

30 Priority: 29.01.86 GB 8602121

43 Date of publication of application:
09.09.87 Bulletin 87/37

84 Designated Contracting States:
AT BE CH DE ES FR GB IT LI NL SE

71 Applicant: ALLIED COLLOIDS LIMITED
P.O. Box 38 Low Moor
Bradford West Yorkshire, BD12 0JZ(GB)

72 Inventor: Langley, John
4 Wharfedale Gardens Baildon
Shipley West Yorkshire BD17 6TM(GB)
Inventor: Holroyd, David
6 Brantwood Grove
Bradford West Yorkshire(GB)

74 Representative: Lawrence, Peter Robin
Broughton et al
GILL JENNINGS & EVERY 53-64 Chancery
Lane
London WC2A 1HN(GB)

84 Production of paper and paperboard.

57 Paper or paper board is made by passing an aqueous cellulosic suspension through a centriscreen or other shear device and then draining the purified suspension, and an improved combination of retention, drainage, drying and formation is achieved by adding to the suspension an excess of high molecular weight linear synthetic cationic polymer before shearing the suspension and adding bentonite after shearing.

EP 0 235 893 A1

Production of Paper and Paper Board

This invention relates to the production of paper and paper board from a thin stock (a diluted aqueous suspension) of cellulose fibres and optionally filler on paper making apparatus in which the thin stock is passed through one or more shear stages such as cleaning, mixing and pumping stages and the resultant suspension is drained through a wire to form a sheet, which is then dried. The thin stock is generally made
 5 by dilution of a thick stock that is formed earlier in the process. The drainage to form the sheet may be downwards under gravity or may be upwards, and the screen through which drainage occurs may be flat or curved, e.g., cylindrical.

The stock is inevitably subjected to agitation throughout its flow along the apparatus. Some of the agitation is gentle but some is strong as a result of passage through one or more of the shear stages. In particular, passage of the stock through a centriscreen inevitably subjects the stock to very high shear. The
 10 centriscreen is the name given to various centrifugal cleaner devices that are used on paper machines to remove coarse solid impurities, such as large fibre bundles, from the stock prior to sheet formation. It is sometimes known as the selectifier. Other stages that apply shear include centrifugal pumping and mixing apparatus such as conventional mixing pumps and fan pumps (i.e., centrifugal pumps).

It is common to include various inorganic materials, such as bentonite and alum, and/or organic materials, such as various natural or modified natural or synthetic polymers, in the thin stock for the purpose of improving the process. Such materials can be added for diverse purposes such as pitch control, decolouration of the drainage water (JP 598291) or for facilitating release from drying rolls (JP 5059505). Starch is often included to improve strength.

Process improvement is particularly desired in retention, drainage and drying (or dewatering) and in the formation (or structure) properties of the final paper sheet. Some of these parameters are in conflict with each other. For instance if the fibres are flocculated effectively into conventional, relatively large, flocs then this may trap the fibre fines and filler very successfully, so as to give good retention, and may result in a porous structure so as to give good drainage. However the porosity and large floc size may result in rather
 25 poor formation, and the large fibre flocs may tend to hold water during the later stages of drying such that the drying properties are poor. This will necessitate the use of excessive amounts of thermal energy to dry the final sheet. If the fibres are flocculated into smaller and tighter flocs then drainage will be less satisfactory and retention usually will be less satisfactory, but drying and formation will be improved.

Conventional practice therefore has resulted in the paper maker selecting his additives according to the parameters that he judges to be the most important. If, for example, increased filler retention is more important to the papermaker than increased production he is more likely to use a polyacrylamide or other very high molecular weight flocculant. If increased production is more important than increased retention then a coagulant such as aluminium sulphate is more likely to be chosen. Impurities in the stock create additional problems and necessitate the use of particular additives.

It is known to include in the stock both an inorganic additive and an organic polymeric material, for the purpose of improving retention, drainage, drying and/or formation.

In DE 2262906 1 to 10% bentonite and/or 0.5 to 3% aluminium sulphate is added to the stock, followed by 0.02 to 0.2% of a cationic polymer such as polyethylene imine, so as to improve dewatering even in the presence of impurities in the stock. (In this specification all percentages are dry weight based on the dry
 40 weight of the stock, unless otherwise stated.)

In U.S. 2,368,635 bentonite is added to the stock and may be followed by aluminium sulphate or other acidifying substance. In U.S. 3,433,704 attapulgite is added and alum and/or auxiliary filler retention material can be incorporated. In G.B. 1,265,496 a stock containing alum and pigmentary clay is formed and cationic polymer is added.

In U.S. 3,052,595 mineral filler, polyacrylamide and 1 to 20% bentonite, by weight based on the weight of filler, are incorporated in the stock. It is stated that the polymer could be added to the stock either before or after the addition of fillers but the preferred process involves adding the bentonite to a stock containing the remainder of the fillers and the fibres, and then adding the polymer. In each instance the polymer used in this process is substantially non-ionic polyacrylamide. In EP 17353 unfilled paper is made from crude
 50 pulp by adding bentonite to the stock followed by substantially non-ionic polyacrylamide.

FI 67735 describes a process in which a cationic polymer and an anionic component are included in the stock to improve retention and the resultant sheet is sized. It is stated that the cationic and anionic components can be pre-mixed but preferably the anionic component is first added to the stock followed by the cationic, or they are added separately at the same place. The stock is agitated during the addition. It is stated that the amount of cationic is 0.01 to 2% preferably 0.2 to 0.9% and the amount of anionic is 0.01 to

0.6% preferably 0.1 to 0.5%. The cationic retention aid is said to be selected from cationic starch and cationic polyacrylamide or certain other synthetic polymers while the anionic component is said to be polysilicic acid, bentonite, carboxymethyl cellulose or anionic synthetic polymer. In the examples the anionic component is colloidal silicic acid in an amount of 0.15% and the cationic component is cationic starch in an amount of 0.3 or 0.35% and is added after the colloidal silicic acid.

FI 67736 describes a process in which the same chemical types of materials are used as in FI 67735 but the size is added to the stock. It is again stated to be preferred to add the anionic component before the cationic component or to add both components at the same place (while maintaining the stock adequately agitated). However it is also stated that when synthetic polymer alone is used as the retention aid (i.e., presumably meaning a combination of synthetic cationic polymer and synthetic anionic polymer) it is advantageous to add the cationic before the anionic. Most of the examples are laboratory examples and show adding 0.15% colloidal silica sol to relatively thick stock, followed by 1 to 2% cationic starch followed by a further 0.15% colloidal silica sol. In one example the 1-2% cationic starch is replaced by 0.25% cationic polyacrylamide. In the only example of an actual production process, the cationic starch, filler and some anionic silica sol are all mixed into thick stock at the same place and the remainder of the silica sol is added later, but the precise points of addition, and the intervening process steps, are not stated.

Arlender in Papier, Volume 29, number 10a, October 1975, pages 32 to 43, especially page 36, examined possible synergistic combinations of additives for cellulosic suspensions. He showed that when using a combination of 0.005% polyethylene oxide of very high molecular weight and 0.12% melamine formaldehyde resin, retention was improved only slightly if they were both added at the chest (early in the process), retention was improved if the melamine formaldehyde was added at the head box (near the end of the process) whilst the other polymer was still added at the chest, but best results were achieved when both polymers were added at the head box. Thus best results were obtained when no shear was applied after flocculation.

Auhorn in Wochenblatt Fur Papierfabrikation, Volume 13, 1979, pages 493 to 502, especially page 500, showed the use of bentonite in combination with 0.3% cationic polyelectrolyte. It appears that the bentonite absorbed impurities from the suspension prior to the addition of the polyelectrolyte. Chalk was said to behave in a similar manner. In a paper presented by Auhorn to the Wet End Paper Technology Symposium, Munich, 17th to 19th March 1981 he showed that applying shear to the aqueous suspension after the addition of polymeric retention aid gave a serious decrease in retention properties. He also examined the effect of adding bentonite to the suspension and then adding 0.04% cationic polymer before or after the selectifier (a form of centriscreen). He demonstrated that greatly improved retention was obtained when the polymer was added after the selectifier (i.e., after the shearing) than before.

Tanaka in Tappi, April 1982, Volume 65, No.4, pages 95 to 99, especially page 98, indicated that when making paper filled with clay there was slightly better retention of clay when the clay was added after the polymer than before but warned that the system is highly shear sensitive.

Waech in Tappi Journal, March 1983, pages 137 to 139 showed that when making paper filled with kaolin clay using a synthetic cationic polymeric retention aid retention is significantly improved if all the kaolin is added after the retention aid instead of before. Waech also showed that retention is improved less if the retention aid is added before the fan pump.

Luner in Tappi Proceedings, 1984 Paper Makers Conference, pages 95 to 106, confirmed these results and suggested that they were due to the pulp being positively charged by the cationic polymer before the addition of anionic clay, and clearly demonstrated that although the process gave improved retention it gave markedly reduced burst strength, compared to a process in which the clay is added before the retention aid.

The late addition of all the clay filler incurs other disadvantages. It would be very difficult in practice to operate this in a controlled manner because of the variable filler content of the recycled pulp that is used in many mills to supply part at least of the initial fibre pulp. It would be difficult or impossible to adapt paper mills to allow for the uniform addition of large amounts of filler at a late stage. Finally, these processes are of course inappropriate when no significant amount of filler is to be incorporated into the suspension, e.g., for unfilled papers.

In practice therefore whenever a synthetic polymeric retention aid is included in the stock it is always added after the last point of high shear so as to avoid the dramatic loss of retention that is accepted as inevitable if the flocculated system is sheared and that is shown, as mentioned above, by Auhorn. In particular, the synthetic polymeric retention aid is always added after the centriscreen.

In many of these processes a starch, often a cationic starch, is also included in the suspension in order to improve the burst strength. Whereas cationic synthetic polymeric retention aids are substantially linear molecules of relatively high charge density, cationic starch is a globular molecule having relatively low charge density.

5. A process that is apparently intended to obtain both good strength properties and satisfactory retention properties is described in U.S. 4,388,150 and uses colloidal silicic acid and cationic starch. It is said that the components may be pre-mixed and then added to the stock but that preferably the mixing is conducted in the presence of the stock. It is said that the best results are obtained if the colloidal silicic acid is mixed into the stock and the cationic starch is then added. It appears that a binder complex is formed between the
10 colloidal silicic acid and the cationic starch and it is said that results improve as the Zeta potential in the initial anionic stock moves towards zero. This suggests that the binder complex is intended to have some coagulation effect upon the stock.

- A process has been commercialised by the assignees of U.S. 4,388,150 under the trade name Compozil. The trade literature on this states that the system is an advantage over "two component systems
15 containing long-chain linear polymers" and further states that the anionic colloidal silica is "the unique part of the system", is "not a silica pigment", and "acts to agglomerate the fines, filler and fibre already treated with the cationic starch". The system is also described in Paper, 9th September 1985 pages 18 to 20 and again it is stated that the anionic silica acid is a colloidal solution that gives the system its unique properties.

- 20 Although the system can, in some processes, give a good combination of strength and process performance it suffers from a number of disadvantages. The colloidal silica, that is essential, is very expensive. The cationic starch has to be used in very large quantities. For instance the examples in U.S. 4,388,150 show that the amount of cationic starch and colloidal silica that are added to the stock can be as high as 15% combined dry solids based on the weight of clay (clay is usually present in an amount of
25 about 20% by weight of the total solids in the stock). Further, the system is only successful at a very narrow range of pH values, and so cannot be used in many paper making processes.

- W086/05826 was published after the priority date of the present application and recognises the existence of some of these problems, and in particular modified the silica sol in an attempt to make the system satisfactory at a wider range of pH values. Whereas FI 67736 describes, inter alia, the use of
30 bentonite or colloidal silica in combination with, e.g., cationic polyacrylamide and exemplified adding the cationic polyacrylamide with agitation followed by addition of some of the colloidal silica sol, in W086/05826 the colloidal silica sol is modified. In particular cationic polyacrylamide is used in combination with a sol of colloidal particles having at least one surface layer of aluminium silicate or aluminium-modified silicic acid such that the surface groups of the particles contain silicon atoms and aluminium atoms in a ratio of from
35 9.5:0.5 to 7.5:2.5. The ratio of 7.5:2.5 is achieved by making aluminium silicate by precipitation of water glass with sodium aluminate. It is stated that the colloidal sol particles should have a size of less than 20nm and is obtained by precipitation of water glass with sodium aluminate or by modifying the surface of a silicic acid sol with aluminate ions. We believe that the resultant sol is, like the starting silicic acid sol, a relatively low viscosity fluid in contrast to the relatively thixotropic and pasty consistency generated by the use of
40 bentonite as proposed in FI 67736.

- No detailed description is given as to the process conditions that should be used for adding the polymer and the sol and so presumably any of the orders of addition described in U.S. 4,388,150 are suitable. Improved retention compared to, for instance, the use of a system comprising bentonite sold under the trade name "Organosorb" in W086/05826 is demonstrated, as are improved results at a range of pH
45 values, but the necessity to start with colloidal silica and then modify it is a serious cost disadvantage.

The use of cationic polymer in the presence of synthetic sodium aluminium silicate has been described by Pummer in Das Papier, 27, volume 10, 1973 pages 417 to 422, especially 421.

- It would be desirable to be able to devise a dewatering process for the manufacture of both filled and unfilled papers that can have good burst strength and, in particular, to devise such a process that has
50 dewatering performance (retention, drainage and/or drying) and formation properties as good as or preferably better than the Compozil system or the system of U.S. 4,388,150 whilst avoiding the need to use expensive materials such as colloidal silicic acid or large amounts of cationic starch, and which does not suffer from the pH restrictions inherent in the Compozil process.

- According to the invention paper or paper board is made by forming an aqueous cellulosic suspension,
55 passing the suspension through one or more shear stages selected from cleaning, mixing and pumping stages, draining the suspension to form a sheet and drying the sheet, and the suspension that is drained includes organic polymeric material and inorganic material, characterised in that the inorganic material comprises bentonite which is added to the suspension after one of the said shear stages, and the organic

polymeric material comprises a substantially linear, synthetic, cationic polymer having molecular weight above 500,000 which is added to the suspension before that shear stage in an amount which is at least about 0.03%, based on the dry weight of the suspension, when the suspension contains at least about 0.5% cationic binder or is at least about 0.06% when the suspension is free of cationic binder or contains cationic binder in an amount of less than 0.5%.

The process of the invention can give an improved combination of drainage, retention, drying and formation properties, and it can be used to make a wide range of papers of good formation and strength at high rates of drainage and with good retention. The process can be operated to give a surprisingly good combination of high retention with good formation. Because of the good combination of drainage and drying it is possible to operate the process at high rates of production and with lower vacuum and/or drying energy than is normally required for papers having good formation. The process can be operated successfully at a wide range of pH values and with a wide variety of cellulosic stocks and pigments. Although it is essential in the invention to use more synthetic polymer than has conventionally been used as a polymeric retention aid the amounts of additives are very much less than the amounts used in, for instance, the Compozil process and the process does not necessitate the use of expensive anionic components such as colloidal silica or modified colloidal silica.

Whereas it is stated in the Compozil literature to be essential to use anionic colloidal silica, and whereas we confirm below that the replacement of colloidal silica by bentonite when using cationic starch does give inferior results, in the invention the use of bentonite gives improved results. Whereas the Compozil literature says that there is an advantage in that process over processes using long chain linear polymers, in the invention such polymers must be used and give improved results.

Conventional practice, for instance as mentioned by Auhorn, has established that retention is worse if the flocculated stock is subjected to shear before dewatering. In the invention however we subject the flocculated stock to shear and preferably we subject it to the very high shear that prevails in the centriscreeen. Whereas Waech and Luner did suggest adding polymer before pigment they did not suggest this high degree of shear nor the use of bentonite and their process led to an inevitable reduction in burst strength and other practice disadvantages, all of which are avoided in the invention.

Whereas FI 67736 did mention the possibility of using bentonite, silica sol, or anionic organic polymer in combination with cationic polyacrylamide, and whereas it did exemplify a process in which cationic polyacrylamide was added with agitation followed by colloidal silica, the amount of cationic polyacrylamide was too low for the purposes of the present invention and there was no suggestion that the polymer should be added before shearing in the centriscreeen and the colloidal silica after.

Whereas unpublished W086/05826 exemplifies a range of processes in which cationic polymer is stirred into pulp and synthetically modified silica sol is then added, that process presumably differs from the process of FI 67736 by the use of the special silica sol rather than colloidal silica or bentonite, whereas in the invention bentonite is essential and gives better results than the special sol. W086/05826 does not suggest adding the cationic polymer before the centriscreeen and the anionic component after the centriscreeen.

The process of the invention can be carried out on any conventional paper making apparatus. The thin stock that is drained to form the sheet is often made by diluting a thick stock which typically has been made in a mixing chest by blending pigment, appropriate fibre, any desired strengthening agent or other additives, and water. Dilution of the thick stock can be by means of recycled white water. The stock may be cleaned in a vortex cleaner. Usually the thin stock is cleaned by passage through a centriscreeen. The thin stock is usually pumped along the apparatus by one or more centrifugal pumps known as fan pumps. For instance the stock may be pumped to the centriscreeen by a first fan pump. The thick stock can be diluted by white water to the thin stock at the point of entry to this fan pump or prior to the fan pump, e.g., by passing the thick stock and dilution water through a mixing pump. The thin stock may be cleaned further, by passage through a further centriscreeen. The stock that leaves the final centriscreeen may be passed through a second fan pump and/or a head box prior to the sheet forming process. This may be by any conventional paper or paper board forming process, for example flat wire fourdrinier, twin wire former or vat former or any combination of these.

In the invention it is essential to add the specified synthetic polymer before the stock reaches the last point of high shear and to shear the resultant stock before adding the bentonite. It is possible to insert in the apparatus a shear mixer or other shear stage for the purpose of shearing the suspension in between adding the polymer and the bentonite but it is greatly preferred to use a shearing device that is in the apparatus for other reasons. This device is usually one that acts centrifugally. It can be a mixing pump but is usually a fan pump or, preferably, a centriscreeen. The polymer may be added just before the shear stage that precedes the bentonite addition or it may be added earlier and may be carried by the stock through one or

more stages to the final shear stage, prior to the addition of the bentonite. If there are two centrisccreens then the polymer can be added after the first but before the second. When there is a fan pump prior to the centriscreeen the polymer can be added between the fan pump and the centriscreeen or into or ahead of the fan pump. If thick stock is being diluted in the fan pump then the polymer may be added with the dilution water or it may be added direct into the fan pump.

Best results are achieved when the polymer is added to thin stock (i.e., having a solids content of not more than 2% or, at the most, 3%) rather than to thick stock. Thus the polymer may be added direct to the thin stock or it may be added to the dilution water that is used to convert thick stock to thin stock.

The addition of the large amounts of synthetic polymer causes the formation of large flocs and these are immediately or subsequently broken down by the high shear (usually in the fan pump and/or centriscreeen to very small flocs that can be termed stable microflocs.

The resultant stock is a suspension of these stable microflocs and bentonite is then added to it. The stock must be stirred sufficiently to distribute the bentonite throughout the stock. If the stock that has been treated with bentonite is subsequently subjected to substantial agitation or high shear this will tend to reduce the retention properties but improve still further the formation. For instance the stock containing bentonite could be passed through a centriscreeen prior to drainage and the product will then have very good formation properties but possibly reduced retention compared to the results if the bentonite was added after that centriscreeen. Because formation in the final sheet is usually good, in the invention, if the bentonite is added just before sheet formation, and because it is generally desired to optimise retention, it is usually preferred to add the bentonite after the last point of high shear. Preferably the polymer is added just before the final fan pump and/or final centriscreeen and the stock is led, without applying shear, from the final centriscreeen or fan pump to a headbox, the bentonite is added either to the headbox or between the centriscreeen and the headbox, and the stock is then dewatered to form the sheet.

In some processes it is desirable to add some of the bentonite at one point and the remainder of the bentonite at a later point (e.g., part immediately after the centriscreeen and part immediately before drainage, or part before the centriscreeen or other device for applying the shear and part after).

The thin stock is usually brought to its desired final solids concentration, by dilution with water, before the addition of the bentonite and generally before (or simultaneously with) the addition of the polymer but in some instances it is convenient to add further dilution water to the thin stock after the addition of the polymer or even after the addition of the bentonite.

The initial stock can be made from any conventional paper making stock such as traditional chemical pulps, for instance bleached and unbleached sulphate or sulphite pulp, mechanical pulps such as groundwood, thermomechanical or chemi-thermochemical pulp or recycled pulp such as deinked waste, and any mixtures thereof.

The stock, and the final paper, can be substantially unfilled (e.g., containing less than 10% and generally less than 5% by weight filler in the final paper) or filler can be provided in an amount of up to 50% based on the dry weight of the stock or up to 40% based on the dry weight of paper. When filler is used any conventional filler such as calcium carbonate, clay, titanium dioxide or talc or a combination may be present. The filler (if present) is preferably incorporated into the stock in conventional manner, before addition of the synthetic polymer.

The stock may include other additives such as rosin, alum, neutral sizes or optical brightening agents. It may include a strengthening agent and this can be a starch, often a cationic starch. The pH of the stock is generally in the range 4 to 9 and a particular advantage of the process is that it functions effectively at low pH values; for instance below pH 7, whereas in practice the Compozil process requires pH values of above 7 to perform well.

The amounts of fibre, filler, and other additives such as strengthening agents or alum can all be conventional. Typically the thin stock has a solids content of 0.2 to 3% or a fibre content of 0.1 to 2%. The stock preferably has a solids content of 0.3 to 1.5% or 2%.

The organic, substantially linear, synthetic polymer must have a molecular weight above about 500,000 as we believe it functions, at least in part, by a bridging mechanism. Preferably the molecular weight is above about 1 million and often above about 5 million, for instance in the range 10 to 30 million or more.

The polymer must be cationic and preferably is made by copolymerising one or more ethylenically unsaturated monomers, generally acrylic monomers, that consist of or include cationic monomer.

Suitable cationic monomers are dialkyl amino alkyl -(meth) acrylates or -(meth) acrylamides, either as acid salts or, preferably, quaternary ammonium salts. The alkyl groups may each contain 1 to 4 carbon atoms and the aminoalkyl group may contain 1 to 8 carbon atoms. Particularly preferred are dialkylaminoethyl (meth) acrylates, dialkylaminomethyl (meth) acrylamides and dialkylamino-1,3-propyl (meth) acrylamides. These cationic monomers are preferably copolymerised with a non-ionic monomer, preferably

acrylamide and preferably have an intrinsic viscosity above 4 dl/g. Other suitable cationic polymers are polyethylene imines, polyamine epichlorhydrin polymers, and homopolymers or copolymers, generally with acrylamide, of monomers such as diallyl dimethyl ammonium chloride. Any conventional cationic synthetic linear polymeric flocculant suitable for use as a retention aid on paper can be used.

The polymer can be wholly linear or it can be slightly cross linked, as described in EP 202780, provided it still has a structure that is substantially linear in comparison with the globular structure of cationic starch.

For best results the cationic polymer should have a relatively high charge density, for instance above 0.2 preferably at least 0.35, most preferably 0.4 to 2.5 or more, equivalents of nitrogen per kilogram of polymer. These values are higher than the values obtainable with cationic starch having a conventional relatively high degree of substitution, since typically this has a charge density of below 0.15 equivalents nitrogen per kg starch. When the polymer is formed by polymerisation of cationic, ethylenically unsaturated, monomer optionally with other monomers the amount of cationic monomer will normally be above 2% and usually above 5% and preferably at least about 10% molar based on the total amount of monomers used for forming the polymer.

The amount of synthetic linear cationic polymer used in conventional processes as retention aid, in the substantial absence of cationic binder, is typically between 0.01 and 0.05% (dry polymer based on dry weight of paper), often around 0.02% (i.e., 0.2 k/t). Lower amounts can be used. In these processes no significant shear is applied to the suspension after adding the polymer. If the retention and formation of the final paper is observed at increasing polymer dosage it is seen that retention improves rapidly as the dosage is increased up to, typically, 0.02% and that further increase in the dosage gives little or no improvement in retention and starts to cause deterioration in formation and drying, because the overdosing of the flocculant results in the production of flocs of increased size. The optimum amount of polymeric flocculant in conventional processes is therefore at or just below the level that gives optimum retention and this amount can easily be determined by routine experimentation by the skilled mill operator.

In the invention we use an excess amount of cationic synthetic polymer, generally 1.1 to 10 times, usually 3 to 6 times, the amount that would have been regarded as optimum in conventional processes. The amount will therefore normally always be above 0.03% (0.3 k/t) and in some instances adequate results can be achieved with dosages as low as this if the stock to which the polymer is added already contains a substantial amount, e.g., 0.5%, cationic binder. However if the stock is free of cationic binder or only contains a small amount then the dosage of polymer will normally have to be more, usually at least 0.06% - (0.6 k/t). This is a convenient minimum even for stocks that do contain a large amount of cationic binder. Often the amount is at least 0.08%. The amount will usually be below 0.5% and generally below 0.2% with amounts of below 0.15% usually being preferred. Best results are generally obtained with 0.06 to 0.12 or 0.15%.

If cationic binder is present it will be present primarily to serve as a strengthening aid and its amount will usually be below 1%, preferably below 0.5%. The binder may be starch, urea formaldehyde resin or other cationic strengthening aid.

The use of the excess amount of synthetic polymeric flocculant is thought to be necessary to ensure that the shearing that occurs in the centriscreeen or other shear stage results in the formation of microflocs which contain or carry sufficient cationic polymer to render parts at least of their surfaces sufficiently cationically charged. Surprisingly it is not essential to add sufficient cationic polymer to render the whole suspension cationic. Thus the Zeta potential of the stock can, prior to addition of the bentonite, be cationic or anionic, including for instance -25mv. It would normally be expected that the addition of anionic bentonite to a suspension having a significant negative Zeta potential (e.g., below -10 mv) would not give satisfactory results and U.S. 4,388,150 suggests that best results are achieved when the Zeta potential following the addition of the starch and the anionic silica approaches zero. The article by Luner also proposed neutralisation of the charges in the suspension by the polymer.

Whether or not a sufficient excess of cationic polymer has been added (and presumably whether or not the resultant microflocs do have a sufficient cationic charge) can easily be determined experimentally by plotting the performance properties in the process, with a fixed amount of bentonite and a fixed degree of shear, at various levels of polymeric addition. When the amount of polymer is insufficient (e.g., being the amount typically used in the prior art) the retention and other properties are relatively poor. As the amount is gradually increased a significant increase in retention and other performance properties is observed, and this corresponds with the excess that is desired in the invention. Further increase in the amount of flocculant, far beyond the level at which the significant improvement in performance occurs, is unnecessary

and, for cost reasons, undesirable. Naturally this test with the bentonite must be conducted after subjecting the flocculated suspension to very high shear so as to break it down to microflocs. As a result of having sufficient flocculant these flocs are sufficiently stable to resist further degradation during the shearing in the centriscreen or other shear stage.

5 It is essential in the invention to use a cationic polymer as the first component, rather than a non-ionic or anionic polymer and, as the second component, it is essential to use bentonite rather than any other anionic particulate material. Thus colloidal silica or modified colloidal silica gives inferior results and the use of other very small anionic particles or the use of anionic soluble polymers also gives very inferior results.

The amount of bentonite that has to be added is generally in the range 0.03 to 0.5%, preferably 0.5 to 10 0.3% and most preferably 0.08 or 0.1 to 0.2%.

The bentonite can be any of the materials commercially referred to as bentonites or as bentonite-type clays, i.e., anionic swelling clays such as sepiolite, attapulgite or, preferably, montmorillonite. The montmorillonites are preferred. Bentonites broadly as described in U.S. 4,305,781 are suitable.

Suitable montmorillonite clays include Wyoming bentonite or Fullers Earth. The clays may or may not 15 be chemically modified, e.g., by alkali treatment to convert calcium bentonite to alkali metal bentonite.

The swelling clays are usually metal silicates wherein the metal comprises a metal selected from aluminium and magnesium, and optionally other metals, and the ratio silicon atoms:metal atoms in the surface of the clay particles, and generally throughout their structure, is from 5:1 to 1:1. For most montmorillonites the ratio is relatively low, with most or all of the metal being aluminium but with some 20 magnesium and sometimes with, for instance a little iron. In other swelling clays however some or all of the aluminium is replaced by magnesium and the ratio may be very low, for instance about 1.5 in sepiolite. The use of silicates in which some of the aluminium has been replaced by iron seems to be particularly desirable.

The dry particle size of the bentonite is preferably at least 90% below 100 microns, and most 25 preferably at least 60% below 50 microns (dry size). The surface area of the bentonite before swelling is preferably at least 30 and generally at least 50, typically 60 to 90, m²/gm and the surface area after swelling is preferably 400-800 m²/g. The bentonite preferably swells by at least 15 or 20 times. The particle size after swelling is preferably at least 90% below 2 microns.

The bentonite is generally added to the aqueous suspension as a hydrated suspension in water, 30 typically at a concentration between 1% and 10% by weight. The hydrated suspension is usually made by dispersing powdered bentonite in water.

The choice of the cellulosic suspension and its components and the paper making conditions may all be varied in conventional manner to obtain paper ranging from unfilled papers such as tissue, newsprint, groundwood specialties, supercalendered magazine, highly filled high quality writing papers, fluting me- 35 dium, liner board, light weight board to heavy weight multiply boards or sack kraft paper.

The paper may be sized by conventional rosin/alum size at pH values ranging between 4 and 6 or by the incorporation of a reactive size such as ketene dimer or alkenyl succinic anhydride where the pH conditions are typically between 6 and 9.

The reactive size when used can be supplied as an aqueous emulsion or can be emulsified in situ at 40 the mill with suitable emulsifiers and stabilisers such as cationic starch.

Preferably the reactive size is supplied in combination with a polyelectrolyte in known manner. The size and the polyelectrolyte can be supplied to the user in the form of an anhydrous dispersion of the polyelectrolyte in a non-aqueous liquid comprising the size, as described in EP 141641 and 200504. Preferably the polyelectrolyte for application with the size is also suitable as the synthetic polymeric 45 retention aid in the invention in which event the size and all the synthetic polymer can be provided in a single anhydrous composition of the polymer dispersed in the anhydrous liquid phase comprising the size.

Suitable methods of making the anhydrous compositions, and suitable sizes, are described in those European specifications. The anhydrous dispersions may be made by formation of an emulsion of aqueous polymer in oil followed by dehydration by azeotrope in conventional manner and then dissolution of the 50 size in the oil phase, with optional removal of the oil phase if appropriate. The emulsion can be made by emulsification of an aqueous solution of the polymer into the oil phase but is preferably made by reverse phase polymerisation. The oil phase will generally need to include a stabiliser, preferably an amphipathic oil stabiliser in order to stabilise the composition.

In the following examples the following polymers are used:-

55 A: a copolymer formed of 70% by weight acrylamide and 30% dimethyl aminoethyl acrylate quaternised with methyl chloride and having intrinsic viscosity (IV) 7 to 10.

B: a copolymer of 90 weight % acrylamide and 10 weight % dimethyl aminoethyl methacrylate having IV 7 to 10.

C: polyethylenimine (Polymin SK B.A.S.F.)

D: polydiallyl dimethyl ammonium chloride

E: a medium molecular weight copolymer of diallyl dimethyl ammonium chloride, acrylamide 70:30 IV of 1.5

F: a quaternised dimethylaminomethyl acrylamide copolymer with 50% acrylamide and having IV 1.0

G: a copolymer of 70% by weight acrylamide and 30% sodium acrylate, IV 12

S: high molecular weight potato starch with high degree of cationic substitution

CSA: colloidal silicic acid

AMCSA: aluminium modified silicic acid

The bentonite in each example was a sodium carbonate activated calcium montmorillonite. Examples 1 to 3 are examples of actual paper processes. The other examples are laboratory tests that we have found to give a reliable indication of the results that will be obtained when the same materials are used on a mill with the polymer being added before the centriscreeen (or the final centriscreeen if there is more than one) and with the bentonite being added after the last point of high shear.

Example 1

Three retention aid systems were compared on an experimental machine designed to simulate full scale modern papermaking machine conditions. In this, thick sized stock was mixed with white water from a wire pit and was passed through a mixing pump. The resultant thin stock was passed through a dearator and was then fed by a fan pump to a flow box, from which it was flowed on to the wire to form a sheet, the drained water being collected in the wire pit and recycled.

System (I) involved the addition of 0.03% Polymer A added just after the fan pump, i.e., after last point of high shear.

System (II) involved the addition of 1.5% cationic starch just before mixing the stock with the white water, and 0.2% colloidal silica (the optimised Compozil System) just after the fan pump.

System (III) involved the addition of 0.15% Polymer A to the white water just before mixing with the stock, followed by 0.2% bentonite just after the fan pump, as a hydrated slurry.

The performance of these systems was evaluated on stock consisting of 50% bleached birch and 50% bleached pine, with 20% CaCO₃, at 0.7% consistency and pH 8.0 sized with an alkylketene dimer.

The first pass retention values and the web dryness after the wet presses on machine were recorded in Table 1.

Table 1

System	Retention %	Dryness %
I	35	42.75
II	74	44.6
III	92	45.75

This clearly demonstrates the significant advantage of the invention (system III) compared to the two prior processes (systems I and II) both as regards retention and dryness. Although the increase in dryness is numerically relatively small commercially this difference is very significant and allows either an increase in machine speed and or decreased steam demand in the drying section.

Example 2

The process of Example 1 was repeated using a stock and retention aid systems II and III as described in Example 1 but under acid sizing conditions using rosin alum and filled with china clay instead of CaCO₃. The pH of the stock was 5.0. Addition points were as described in Example 1.

Table 2

System	Retention %	Dryness %
II	84.0	45.75
III	88.0	46.60

This clearly demonstrates the significant advantage of System III over the prior process (System II), both with regard to retention and web dryness after the presses.

15 Example 3

A full scale machine trial was carried out on a fourdrinier machine producing 19 t/hour of unbleached sack kraft. In this process, thick stock was diluted with white water from a silo and the stock passed through a mixing pump and dearator to a second dilution point at which further white water was added to make the final thin stock. This stock was fed to four centriscreens in parallel, all discharging into a loop that lead to the headbox that supplied the screen. The thin stock contained 0.15% cationic starch as a strengthening aid and 1% cationic urea formaldehyde wet strength resin. Machine speed was 620 m/min.

Polymer A dosage was 0.03% added to the white water at the second dilution point. The bentonite dosage was 0.2% added to the thin stock either just before the centriscreens or in the loop after the centriscreens. The results are in Table 3.

Table 3

Additive	% Retention
Nil	82.2
A + Bentonite before centriscreens	86.8
A + Bentonite after centriscreens	92.7

Under equilibrium running conditions using the retention aid system where the bentonite was added after the centriscreens the couch vacuum was reduced by 30% and the drying steam demand by 10% compared to the system when the bentonite was added before the centriscreens. The mill reported no change in formation during the trial.

These results clearly demonstrated the benefit of adding the bentonite after shear. Example 4

Britt jar tests were carried out on a neutral sized stock consisting of birch (15%), spruce (30%), and 55% broke with 25% added calcium carbonate filler (the percentages for the initial solids in the stock in this and other examples are by weight of fibre). The stock had pH 8.0 and contained a conventional ketene dimer sizing agent and 0.5 cationic starch S as a strengthening aid.

The shear condition of the Britt jar was adjusted to give a first pass retention in the region of 55-60% in the absence of the additive. Cationic polyacrylamide A (if used) was added to 500ml of thin stock (0.6% consistency) in a measuring cylinder. The cylinder was inverted four times to achieve mixing and the flocculated stock was transferred to the Britt jar tester. The flocs at this stage were very large and were clearly unsuitable for production of paper having good formation or drying properties. The stock was sheared for one minute and then bentonite (if used) was added. Retention performance was observed.

Laboratory drainage evaluations were also carried out on the same stock using a standard Schopper Reigler freeness tester. The machine orifice was plugged and time was measured for 500ml of white water to drain from 1 litre of the same stock treated as above. The results are shown in Table 4 below.

Table 4

Test	Polymer %	Bentonite %	% Retention	Drainage (secs)
1	OA	0	56.9	56
2	0.05A	0	61.0	41
3	0.1A	0	61.4	28
4	0.15A	0	61.7	25
5	0.1A	0.2	63.7	14
6	0.15A	0.2	81.7	7

Comparison of tests 4 and 6 demonstrates the significant advantage from adding bentonite and comparison of tests 5 and 6 shows the benefit of increasing the amount of polymer A to 0.15k/t for this particular stock. The sheared suspension in test 6 had a stable microfloc structure. The amount of polymeric in test 5 was not quite sufficient for a good structure using this particular stock.

Example 5

The process of example 4 was repeated except that the stock was a conventional rosin alum sized stock having pH 5.5 and did not contain the cationic starch. The results are shown in Table 5.

Table 5

Polymer %	Bentonite %	Drainage (secs)
0	0	117
0.1A	0	70
0.15A	0	77
0.1A	4	31
0.15A	4	23

Example 6

A stock was formed as in Example 4 but did not contain the starch and was tested as in Example 4. The results are shown in Table 6.

Table 6

Test	Polymer %	Inorganic Additive %	% Retention
1	0	0	58
2	1S	0	58.4
3	0.5S	0.2CSA	77.8
4	1S	0.2CSA	79.2
5	1S	0.4 Bentonite	66.6
6	1S	0.6 Bentonite	69.5
7	0.15B	0.2CSA	70
8	0.15B	0.4 Bentonite	83.0
9	0.15A	0.2CSA	70.8
10	0.15A	0	62.3
11	0.15A	0.4 Bentonite	84.2
12	0.05B + 0.5S	0.4 Bentonite	70.5
13	0.1B + 0.5S	0.4 Bentonite	82.2

Tests 3 and 4 are similar to the Compozil system and show the use of cationic starch followed by anionic colloidal silica. Comparison of test 4 with tests 5 and 6 demonstrates that replacing the anionic colloidal silica with bentonite gives worse results. Similarly comparison of tests 3 or 4 with tests 7 or 9 shows that replacing the cationic starch with a synthetic flocculant gives worse results.

Comparison of tests 12 and 13 indicates that the amount of synthetic flocculant in test 12 is inadequate. Tests 8, 11 and 13 demonstrate the excellent results obtainable in the invention. The advantage of the processes of the invention using bentonite (tests 8, 11, 13) over the use of colloidal silica (tests 7, 9) is apparent.

Example 7

A stock was formed as in Example 4 but with no filler and was treated with polymer A before the shearing and with bentonite or specified filler after the shearing. The results are shown in Table 7.

Table 7

Test	Polymer %	Inorganic %	Retention B/W Solids	Drainage Time (secs)
1	0	0	1023	33
2	0.1A	0	705	24
3	0.1A	0.05 Bentonite	315	10
4	0.1A	0.1 Bentonite	205	5
5	0.1A	0.2 Bentonite	180	5
6	0.1A	0.1 Clay	710	25
7	0.1A	0.1 CaCO ₃	700	25
8	0.1A	0.1 TiO ₂	740	25

This clearly demonstrates the superiority of the use of bentonite over other pigmentary fillers. Much better drainage values can be obtained by increasing the amount of clay, CaCO₃ or TiO₂ filler that is added after the polymer, but this is impracticable and the sheet strength is reduced.

Example 8

Laboratory drainage evaluations were carried out as in Example 4 on a 0.5% stock comprised of bleached kraft (60%) bleached birch (30%) and broke (10%). The stock was sized with an alkenyl succinic anhydride size at pH 7.5.

The treated stocks were prepared by adding the desired quantity of dilute polymer solution (0.05%) to 1 litre of stock in a measuring cylinder. The cylinder was inverted four times to effect mixing and transferred to a beaker and sheared mechanically with a conventional propellor stirrer (1,500 rpm) for 1 minute.

After shearing, the stock was transferred back to the measuring cylinder and bentonite as a 1% hydrated slurry was added as required to give the appropriate dose. The cylinder was again inverted four times to effect mixing and transferred to the modified Schopper Reigler apparatus for drainage evaluation.

In the cases where only polymer was added, the polymer treated stock was transferred to the Schopper Reigler apparatus immediately after cylinder inversion and was not subjected to shear.

A range of cationic polymers was evaluated at a constant dose level of 0.1% dry polymer on dry weight of paper. Table 8 shows the results achieved with and without further addition of bentonite.

Table 8

Additive	Drainage Time (secs)	
	No Bentonite	Bentonite Addition 0.2%
Blank	71	68
Polymer C	35	19
Polymer D	53	32
Polymer E	46	22
Polymer F	30	12

Clearly all the polymers gave advantageous drainage benefits to the stock when added alone as single additions, but all show substantial further improvement when the polymer was added before shearing and bentonite is added after shearing.

The size was provided initially as an anhydrous dispersion as described in EP 141641. For instance polymer E could be formulated into a dispersion as in examples 1 and 5 of that specification and the resultant dispersion in oil could be dispersed into water, thereby dissolving the polymer and emulsifying the size, by use of an oil in water emulsifying agent, so as to form an aqueous concentrate that is then added to the cellulosic suspension.

Example 9

Retention evaluations were carried out on a stock consisting of 60% Bleached Kraft, 40% Bleached Birch and 10% Broke with 20% added calcium carbonate. The stock consistency was 0.7% and a pH of 8.0.

The retention evaluation was carried out using the Britt Dynamic Drainage Jar using the following procedure:-

The first component, (cationic starch or cationic polyacrylamide) was added to a 1 litre measuring cylinder containing starch. The cylinder was inverted four times to effect mixing and transferred to the Britt Jar. The treated stock was sheared for 1 minute at a stirrer speed of 1500 rpm. The second component was then added (bentonite or polysilicic acid), the stirrer speed was immediately reduced to 900 rpm and mixing continued for 10 seconds. Drainage was allowed to start and the drained white water was collected, filtered and weighed dry. The total first pass retention was calculated from the data.

The results are shown in Table 9.

Table 9

Test	Polymer %	Inorganic %	% Retention
1	Nil	Nil	65
2	0.1A	Nil	81
3	0.1A	0.15 CSA	85.4
4	0.1A	0.2 CSA	85.9
5	0.1A	0.3 CSA	86.2
6	0.1A	0.2 Bentonite	93.3
7	0.5S	0.15 CSA	86.2
8	0.1S	0.15 CSA	88.2
9	0.5S	0.2 Bentonite	79.5
10	0.1S	0.2 Bentonite	81.2

Comparison of tests 3 to 5 with test 2 shows that the late addition of colloidal silica does improve the retention and so, as indicated in W086/05826, some benefit does follow from the addition of colloidal silica after synthetic linear polymer. However comparison of test 6 with tests 3 to 5 shows that bentonite gives very much better results than colloidal silica in these circumstances.

Comparison of tests 7 and 8 with tests 9 and 10 shows that when using cationic starch instead of a synthetic polymer colloidal silica gives better results. These results confirm the requirement in the Compozil process for using colloidal silica and suggest that a synergic effect exists between the cationic polymer and bentonite, but not between cationic starch and bentonite.

Example 10

Drainage times were recorded as in Example 4 on a stock formed of 50% bleached birch, 50% bleached kraft with 20% added calcium carbonate and having pH 7.5. In test 1 neither polymer nor particulate additive was added. In tests 2 to 15 and 0.1% of Polymer A was added before the shearing. In tests 3 to 16 the specified amounts of various anionic additives were added. In tests 14 0.2% bentonite was added but, instead of using Polymer A, 0.1% non-ionic polymer was used in test 14 and 0.1% anionic polymer was used in test 15. In test 16 polymer A and bentonite were added simultaneously before the shearing. The results are in Table 10.

Table 8

5	Test	Anionic Additive	Drainage Time (secs)
	1	NIL	56
	2	NIL	34
10	3	0.2% Bentonite	6
	4	0.2% CSA	12
	5	10% China Clay	9
15	6	10% Kieselguhr	21
	7	0.5% alkali-swellable polyacrylic aqueous emulsion	30
20	8	0.1% alkali-swellable polyacrylic aqueous emulsion	42
	9	1% water-swellable polyacrylamide dispersion in oil	20
25	10	0.5% water-swellable polyacrylamide dispersion in oil	25
	11	0.2% water-swellable polyacrylamide dispersion in oil	23
30	12	1% sodium polyacrylate crosslinked fines	27
	13	1% polyacrylamide crosslinked fines	40
35	14	0.2% bentonite (after non-ionic)	52
	15	0.2% bentonite (after anionic)	54
	16	0.2% bentonite (simultaneous)	30
40	This confirms that Bentonite has unique properties compared to other organic and inorganic anionic materials or colloidal silicic acid, provided it is added after the flocculated system has been sheared before the addition of bentonite.		

45 Example 11

Retention tests were carried out using the Britt jar tester. Thin stock containing 20% china clay was placed in the Britt jar and 0.1% Polymer A was added. This was then sheared at 1000 rpm for 30 seconds. 0.2% bentonite was added and after allowing 5 seconds for mixing the test was carried out.

50 The procedure was repeated except 20% clay was added at the end instead of the 0.2% bentonite.

Standard 100 gsm sheets were prepared using the above two systems. Retention and Burst strength were recorded and results are shown in Table II.

55

Table 11

Additives	% Retention	Burst Strength KPA
20% china clay + 0.1% Polymer A + 0.2% bentonite	79.0	197
0.1% Polymer A + 20% china clay	76.0	99

This shows that although the late addition of high levels of china clay can give reasonable retention results compared to the bentonite, it has a dramatic bad effect on sheet strength.

Example 12

Laboratory evaluations were carried out to compare different modes of addition of the polymer when using retention aid System III of Example 2.

Samples of thick stock and whitewater were obtained from a mill producing publishing grade papers from bleached chemical pulps filled with calcium carbonate and sized with alkylketene dimer size.

Thick stock consistency was 3.5% and the white water was 0.2%. The thick stock and white water were combined proportionately to give a thin stock consistency of 0.7%.

Laboratory retention evaluation were carried out using a Britt Dynamic Jar Tester as follows:-

For the control without any retention aid, thick stock and white water were combined in the Britt Jar and sheared for 30 seconds at 1000 rpm. When the polymer was added to thick stock, the flocculated thick stock was sheared for 30 seconds at 1000 rpm. After addition of the white water, further mixing was carried out for 5 seconds at 1000 rpm followed by the bentonite additions which was mixed for a further 5 seconds before testing. When the polymer was added to the white water this was sheared for 30 seconds at 1000 rpm followed by addition of thick stock, this was then mixed for a further 5 seconds before bentonite addition which as before was mixed for 5 seconds before testing. The results obtained are shown in Table 12.

Polymer A dosage used was 0.2% and Bentonite dosage was 0.2%.

Table 12

Order of Addition	% Retention
Thick stock + White water	50.9
Thick stock + White water + Polymer A + Bentonite	70.5
Thick stock + Polymer A + White water + Bentonite	56.5
White water + Polymer A + Thick stock + Bentonite	71.4

This shows the benefit of adding the polymer to the thin stock, or to the dilution water for the thin stock, in preference to adding the polymer to thick stock.

Example 13

Aluminium modified silicic acid sol AMCSA was prepared by treatment of colloidal silicic acid with sodium aluminate according to W086/0526 (AMCSA). It was compared at two pH values with CSA and bentonite, after Polymer A, as follows.

The paper making stock was prepared from bleached kraft (50%), bleached birch (50%) and beaten to 45°SR, and diluted to 0.5% consistency. The thin stock was split into two portions. The pH of one portion was 6.8, and hydrochloric acid was added to the other portion to adjust the pH to 4.0.

600 mls of stock was added to a Beritt jar and 0.5% solution of polymer A added to give a dose level of 0.1% dry polymer on dry paper. The flocculated thin stock was sheared for 60 seconds at 1500 rpm in the Britt jar after which the contents were transferred to a 1 litre measuring cylinder and the anionic component was added. The cylinder was inverted four times to achieve mixing and the contents were transferred to a Schopper Reigler apparatus where the machined orifice had been blocked. The time for 400 mls to drain was recorded.

The results are shown in Tables 13 and 14.

Table 13
Stock pH 6.8

Polymer A Dose %	Anionic	Anionic Dose %	Time (seconds)
0	-	-	75
0.1	-	-	47
0.1	AMCSA	0.1	19
0.1	AMCSA	0.2	18
0.1	AMCSA	0.4	23
0.1	CSA	0.1	20
0.1	CSA	0.2	18
0.1	CSA	0.4	23
0.1	Bentonite	0.2	7

Table 14
Stock pH 4.0

Polymer A Dose %	Anionic	Anionic Dose %	Time (seconds)
0	-	-	73
0.1	-	-	47
0.1	AMCSA	0.1	22
0.1	AMCSA	0.2	17
0.1	AMCSA	0.4	19
0.1	CSA	0.1	33
0.1	CSA	0.2	27
0.1	CSA	0.4	23
0.1	Bentonite	0.2	7

This shows that aluminium modified colloidal silicic acid (AMCSA) prepared according to W086/05826, performs as well as colloidal silicic acid (CSA) described in U.S. 4,388,150 at pH 6.8, but performs better than colloidal silicic acid (CSA) at pH 4.0. The results show that Bentonite performs significantly better than either CSA or AMCSA at both pH values. The results demonstrate the synergism that exists specifically between cationic synthetic polymers and bentonite when the stock is sheared after the polymer addition.

Example 14

The effect of addition of soluble anionic polymer G instead of bentonite in the retention aid system was evaluated in the laboratory on a stock consisting of bleached chemical pulps, calcium carbonate and alkylketene dimer size. Both retention and drainage tests were carried out.

Retention tests were carried out using a Britt Dynamic Jar. The required amount of Polymer A was added to 500 mls of thin stock and sheared in the Britt Jar at 1000 rpm for 30 seconds. This was followed by the addition of bentonite or Polymer G at the appropriate dose level and after allowing 5 seconds for mixing the test was carried out.

Vacuum drainage tests were carried out by taking thick stock and treating it as above but after mixing in the bentonite or polymer the stock was transferred into a Hartley Funnel fitted with a filter paper. The Hartley Funnel was attached to a conical flask fitted with a constant vacuum source. The time was then recorded for the stock to drain under vacuum until the pad formed on the filter paper assumed a uniform matt appearance corresponding to removal of excess water.

Results are as shown in Table 15.

Table 15

6	Additive	% Retention	Vacuum Drainage Time (seconds)
	Nil	70.8	80
10	0.1% Polymer A +		
	0.2% Bentonite	95.8	6
	0.1% Polymer A +		
15	0.1% Polymer G	88.4	26
	0.1% Polymer A +		
	0.2% Polymer G	88.4	30
20	0.1% Polymer A + Zero	84.8	14

The addition of the anionic Polymer G only slightly improves the retention and has an adverse effect on drainage compared to Polymer A on its own. Polymer A followed by bentonite was significantly more effective with regard to both retention and drainage.

25

Claims

1. A process in which paper or paper board is made by forming an aqueous cellulosic suspension, passing the suspension through one or more shear stages selected from cleaning, mixing and pumping stages, draining the suspension to form a sheet and drying the sheet and in which the suspension that is drained includes organic polymeric material and inorganic material, characterised in that the inorganic material comprises bentonite which is added to the suspension after one of the said shear stages, and the organic polymeric material comprises a substantially linear synthetic cationic polymer having molecular weight above 500,000 which is added to the suspension before that shear stage in an amount of above about 0.03%, based on the dry weight of the suspension, when the suspension contains at least about 0.5% cationic binder or above about 0.06% when the suspension is free of cationic binder or contains cationic binder in an amount of not more than about 0.5%.
2. A process according to claim 1 in which one or more shear stages are selected from centriscreens, fan pumps and mixing pumps.
3. A process according to claim 1 in which the one or more shear stages comprises a centriscreen, the synthetic polymer is added to the suspension before the centriscreen and the bentonite is added after the centriscreen.
4. A process according to claim 1 in which the synthetic polymer is a cationic polymer selected from polyethylene imine, polyamine epichlorhydrin products, polymers of diallyl dimethyl ammonium chloride, and polymers of acrylic monomers comprising a cationic acrylic monomer.
5. A process according to any preceding claim in which the synthetic polymer is added in an amount of from 0.06 to 0.2%.
6. A process according to any preceding claim in which the bentonite is added as a hydrated suspension obtained by dispersing powdered bentonite in water.
7. A process according to any preceding claim in which the bentonite is added in an amount of from 0.03 to 0.5%.
8. A process according to any preceding claim in which the suspension that is dewatered is substantially free of filler or includes filler substantially all of which was added before the synthetic polymeric material.
9. A process according to any preceding claim in which the synthetic polymer is a cationic polymer having intrinsic viscosity above 4 dl/g and formed from acrylic monomers comprising dialkylaminoalkyl - (meth) -acrylate or -acrylamide (as acid or quaternary salt).

10. A process according to any preceding claim in which the cationic polymer has a cationic charge density of 0.35 to 2.5 equivalents of nitrogen per kilogram polymer.

11. A process according to any preceding claim in which a reactive size is incorporated in the aqueous suspension.

5 12. A process according to claim 11 in which the synthetic polymer and the reactive size are provided as a dispersion of substantially anhydrous particles of the polymer in a substantially anhydrous oil phase comprising the size and this dispersion is mixed into water.

13. A process according to claim 1 comprising forming an aqueous cellulosic suspension selected from suspensions that are substantially unfilled or that contain filler, cleaning the suspension by passage through
10 a centriscreeen, draining the suspension to form a sheet and drying the sheet, and in which 0.06 to 0.2% synthetic, substantially linear synthetic cationic polymer is added to the suspension before the centriscreeen and 0.03 to 0.5% bentonite is added after the centriscreeen, and in which the synthetic polymer is selected from polyethylene imine, polyamine epichlorhydrin products, polymers of diallyl dimethyl ammonium chloride, and polymers of acrylic monomers comprising a cationic acrylic monomer.

14. A process according to any preceding claim in which the suspension has a solids content of below
15 about 2% at the time the polymer is added to the suspension.

20

25

30

35

40

45

50

55



DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int. Cl.4)
A	ABSTRACT BULLETIN OF THE INSTITUTE OF PAPER CHEMISTRY, vol. 51, no. 11, May 1981, page 1161, abstract no. 10862, Appleton, Wisconsin, US; M. DAMHAUG et al.: "Soluble organic material causes retention problems in newsprint production", & NORSK SKOGIND. 34, no. 12: 299-300, 272 (Dec. 1980) * Whole abstract *	1,4,8	D 21 D 3/00
D,A	DE-A-2 262 906 (SANDOZ) * Claim 1; examples 1,2 *	1,4-8	
D,A	EP-A-O 017 353 (ALLIED COLLOIDS) * Whole document *	1,2,4-8	TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int. Cl.4)
D,A	EP-A-O 141 641 (ALLIED COLLOIDS) * Claims 1-8,13 *	4,9-12	D 21 C D 21 D D 21 H
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			
Place of search THE HAGUE		Date of completion of the search 09-04-1987	Examiner NESTBY K.
CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS			
X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document		T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons & : member of the same patent family, corresponding document	



DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			Page 2
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int. Cl.4)
P,X	ABSTRACT BULLETIN OF THE INSTITUTE OF PAPER CHEMISTRY, vol. 57, no. 3, September 1986, page 364, abstract no. 3105, Appleton, Wisconsin, US; J.G. LANGLEY et al.: "Dewatering aids for paper applications", & TAPPI PAPERMAKERS CONF. (NEW ORLEANS) NOTES: 89-92 (April 14-16, 1986) * Whole abstract *	1-14	
A	--- DE-A-1 546 237 (BADISCHE ANILIN- & SODA-FABRIK)		
A	--- TAPPI JOURNAL, vol. 66, no. 3, March 1983, pages 141-144, Atlanta, Georgia, US; R.A. STRATTON: "Effect of agitation on polymer additives"		TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int. Cl.4)
A	--- TAPPI JOURNAL, vol. 64, no. 11, November 1981, pages 97-101, Atlanta, Georgia, US; M.D. SIKORA et al.: "The shear stability of flocculated colloids"		
A	--- TAPPI JOURNAL, vol. 63, no. 5, May 1980, pages 105-108, Atlanta, US; K.W. BRITT: "Physical and chemical relationships in paper sheet formation" -----		
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			
Place of search THE HAGUE		Date of completion of the search 09-04-1987	Examiner NESTBY K.
CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS			
X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document		T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons & : member of the same patent family, corresponding document	